

NCS000431_CONOVER 2023 ANNUAL SELF ASSESSMENT

Line No.	Reporting Year (Jan 1 - Dec 31)	BMP Ref.	Measurable Goal	Reporting Metric	Comments
2	2	1	Did Conover submit the Annual Self-Assessment to NCDEQ prior to February 28?	YES	
11	2	3.B.2.	Did Conover develop and distribute illicit discharge fliers?	YES	
12	2	3.B.2.	Number of illicit discharge fliers distributed?	75	
21	2	4.B.1.	Did Conover have an annual Stormwater Advisory Board meeting?	PARTIAL	Been in contact with GIS, Public Works, Planning Team about Stormwater
22	2	4.B.1.	Number of Stormwater Advisory Board meeting attendees?		
32	2	4.B.2.	Did Conover have a booth at a community event to disperse stormwater outreach materials/awareness through the use of interactive educational games and activities?	YES	Hickory Stem Trek (300 people, 130 handouts); Merry Maiden Downtown (75 people 40 handouts); Need to work on doing events at Library & Parks
33	2	4.B.2.	Number of community events with a stormwater outreach booth?	2	
34	2	4.B.2.	Number of stormwater booth interactions?	75	
48	2	5.B.1.	Did Conover staff provide in class instruction and/or storm water educational activities to students that attend Newton-Conover Middle School?	NO	
49	2	5.B.1.	Number of students present at these classes/activities?		
58	2	5.B.2.	Did Conover conduct stormwater related workshops with teachers?	NO	
59	2	5.B.2.	Number of teachers attending stormwater related workshops?		
68	2	6.B.2.	Did Conover distribute printed materials through events, school presentations, display for public acquisition in Government buildings and on the WPCOG website?	PARTIAL	Government Buildings, Events, Web-Site
74	2	7.B.1.	Did Conover provide one presentation about one of the six NPDES Minimum Control Measures at each annual Water Quality Conference?	YES	
75	2	7.B.1.	Number of annual Water Quality Conference attendees?	113	
84	2	8.B.1.	Did Conover evaluate following target pollutants: litter, sediment, gray water, fats, oils, grease, animal operations, underground storage tanks, super fund sites, chemicals, illicit discharges, illegal dumping and improper disposal of waste?	PARTIAL	
85	2	8.B.1.	Were SWMP revisions needed to address target pollutants or audiences?	NO	
94	2	8.B.2.	Did Conover evaluate the following target audiences: residents, businesses, schools, construction activity, commercial, farms, industrial, development community, general public and municipal employees?	PARTIAL	
95	2	8.B.2.	Were SWMP revisions needed to address target audiences?	NO	
103	2	10.B.1.	Did Conover update and maintain a stormwater web page?	YES	
109	2	10.B.2.	Was annual self- assessment uploaded to the stormwater website?	NO	WPCOG(connected with town) will add updates
110	2	10.B.2.	Were new/current educational materials added to the stormwater website?	NO	
118	2	10.B.3.	Number of hits / contacts made to stormwater web site?	123	
124	2	11.B.3.	Did Conover provide education during the enforcement process for illicit discharges, illegal dumping, and improper disposal of waste?	YES	
125	2	11.B.3.	Number of citizen interactions during enforcement?	0	No IDDE Issues
137	2	12.B.2.	Did Conover maintain a current stormwater hotline?	YES	
138	2	12.B.3.	Did Conover document stormwater hotline calls?	YES	
139	2	12.B.4.	Did Conover train stormwater hotline staff in general stormwater awareness, complaint call protocols and appropriate contacts for referral and typical stormwater issues?	YES	Staff Up to Date on Trainings. Have Powerpoint available for Public Works to Show & Re-educate Staff
140	2	12.B.5.	Number of stormwater hotline calls received?	0	Not any reported
156	2	14.B.1.	Did Conover establish / maintain an online form for public input and stormwater reporting?	YES	
157	2	14.B.2.	Did Conover use the online form for public input and stormwater reporting to track responses, inputs, issues, and concerns?	YES	
158	2	14.B.2.	Number of online form stormwater submittals?	0	
170	2	15.B.1.	Did Conover promote public involvement and participation related to stormwater programs, events, and projects on social media?	PARTIAL	Connected with Hickory Area, People from Conover attend Hickory Events
171	2	15.B.1.	Total number of social media stormwater posts?	0	
180	2	16.B.1.	Did Conover participate in quarterly Water Resource Committee meetings, which are open to the public, for discussion of water quality issues within the region?	YES	
181	2	16.B.1.	Number of attendees at Water Resource Committee meetings?	32	
190	2	17.B.1.	Did Conover provide an annual survey open to feedback for a total of 4 weeks for public input on the stormwater program?	PARTIAL	Survey on the WPCOG Website, link is in Conover Stormwater Page
191	2	17.B.1.	Number of stormwater program feedback surveys completed?	0	
202	2	18.B.1.	Did Conover hold stream cleanup efforts by engaging groups to conduct stream cleanup activities in appropriate areas?	YES	Partnered with Catawba Riversweep Cleanup
203	2	18.B.1.	Number of stream cleanup events held?	1	
204	2	18.B.1.	Number of stream cleanup participants?	7	Volunteers that joined the sweep
205	2	18.B.1.	Number of trash bags filled by stream cleanup participants?	150 lbs	
219	2	18.B.2.	Did Conover provide all materials for stream cleanup activities (i.e. gloves, trash bags, and trash pickers)?	YES	
226	2	19.B.1.	Total number of identified major outfalls?	340	Based off of aerial images & other documentation, will be updated as inspections & SW Mapping occur
231	2	19.B.2.	Did Conover map at least 20% of unmapped areas each year until all areas are included in the MS4 map?	YES	In Progress
236	2	19.B.3.	Did Conover add flow directions to the MS4 map as other components are being mapped/created?	YES	
241	2	19.B.4.	Did Conover add new infrastructure to the MS4 map as new construction occurs, updated on an annual basis?	YES	
246	2	20.B.2.	Did Conover review / update the existing IDDE ordinance?	YES	
254	2	21.B.2.	Did Conover train staff on the processes defined in the IDDE Plan and what is required by the IDDE ordinance?	YES	
255	2	21.B.2.	Number of staff trained on the processes defined in the IDDE Plan and what is required by the IDDE ordinance?	8	
262	2	21.B.3.	Did Conover implement / enforce the IDDE Plan and IDDE Ordinance?	YES	
267	2	22.B.1.	Did Conover locate / identify priority outfalls near high pollution risk areas?	PARTIAL	There are no high pollution risk areas identified currently
272	2	23.B.2.	Did Conover perform regular dry weather (no rain in previous 72 hours) outfall inspections of at least one section (20%) to proactively identify illicit discharges and illicit connections?	PARTIAL	
276	2	23.B.2.	Number of dry weather outfall inspections performed?		
277	2	23.B.2.	Number of potential illicit discharges identified during dry weather outfall inspections?	0	
286	2	25.B.1.	Did Conover inspect priority areas likely to have illicit discharges on a semi-annual basis?	PARTIAL	There are no high priority areas. Conover's new construction sites are being monitored by staff (Public Works & WPCOG)
287	2	25.B.1.	Number of illicit discharges identified in priority areas?	0	
295	2	25.B.3.	Did Conover evaluate / assess / update annually the IDDE plan/program?	PARTIAL	No Updates Needed at this time
301	2	26.B.2.	Did Conover track illicit discharge/connection and illegal dumping reports/investigations utilizing the IDDE layer on top of the MS4 map?	YES	
306	2	26.B.2.	Number of verified IDDE issues?	0	
311	2	26.B.3.	Number of IDDE enforcement actions issued?	0	
316	2	26.B.3.	Number of IDDE enforcement actions resolved?	0	
322	2	26.B.4.	Did Conover establish and maintain a list of chronic violators, as applicable, and updated on a semi-annual basis?	PARTIAL	No Chronic Violators
323	2	26.B.4.	Number of chronic violators identified?	0	
331	2	31.B.2.	Did Conover evaluate IDDE response time?	YES	
336	2	34.B.4.	Did Conover maintain a construction waste management ordinance?	YES	

NCS000431_CONOVER 2023 ANNUAL SELF ASSESSMENT

342	2	34.B.5.	Did Conover enforce the construction waste management ordinance?	YES	
343	2	34.B.5.	Number of construction waste enforcement actions?		0
355	2	35	Did Conover track the number of low-density and high-density plan reviews performed?	YES	
356	2	35	If so, how many low density project reviews were performed?		0
357	2	35	If so, how many high density project reviews were performed?		0
358	2	35	If so, how many low density project reviews were approved?		0
359	2	35	If so, how many high density project reviews were approved?		0
376	2	35	Did Conover maintain a current inventory of low-density projects and constructed SCMs, including SCM type or low-density acreage, location, last inspection date and type of enforcement action (if any)?	YES	
381	2	35	How many high density non-municipally owned SCM inspections were performed?		7
386	2	35	How many low-density inspections were performed?		0
391	2	35	How many post-construction enforcement actions were issued?		0
397	2	38.B.1.	Did Conover review procedures and submittal documents annually to determine if items need to be added or modified?	YES	
403	2	39.B.1.	Did Conover ensure that each project has an approved O&M Agreement and O&M Plan prior to CO?	PARTIAL	Projects in Progress of Approval
404	2	39.B.1.	Number of permitted projects with O&M plans that received their CO?		0
414	2	41.B.1.	Did a qualified City representative perform an SCM inspection on all project SCMs to ensure compliance?	PARTIAL	
415	2	41.B.1.	Number of pre-CO SCM inspections completed?		0
416	2	41.B.1.	Number of pre-CO SCM re-inspections completed?		0
428	2	41.B.2.	Did Conover inspect all SCMs annually?	YES	
429	2	41.B.2.	Number of annual SCM inspections completed?		7
437	2	41.B.2.	Number of failed SCM inspections?		0
443	2	41.B.3.	Number of qualified licensed professional SCM inspections completed with documentation received?		
444	2	41.B.3.	Number of SCMs under annual inspection enforcement.?		7
455	2	42.B.3.	Did Conover provide educational material to the general public about low density developments: during the issuance of zoning permits, distributed through mailings, posted on social media, and handed out at events?	YES	
456	2	42.B.3.	Number of low density educational materials distributed?		50
457	2	43.B.2.	Did Conover provide educational material to developers about high density development?	PARTIAL	
458	2	43.B.2.	Number of high density informational materials distributed?		50
472	2	43.B.3.	Did Conover establish / maintain links to all ordinances, manuals, policies, checklists, design standards, and/or other materials on the website?	YES	
479	2	44.B.2.	Did Conover develop / distribute educational materials on the impacts of unmaintained wastewater systems have on water quality?	YES	
480	2	44.B.2.	Number of wastewater educational materials distributed?		
490	2	45.B.3.	Did Conover implement facility O&M plans?	PARTIAL	In Progress
495	2	46.B.2.	Did Conover maintain a current municipal facility inventory?	YES	
503	2	46.B.3.	Did Conover perform annual facility inspections for high stormwater pollution potential facilities?	YES	
504	2	46.B.3.	Did Conover perform once per permit term inspections for low potential facilities?	PARTIAL	In Progress
505	2	46.B.3.	Number of annual facility inspections for high stormwater pollution potential facilities performed?		Public Works, Water Treatment Plant, 6 Fire Stations (3), Police Station
506	2	46.B.3.	Number of once per permit term inspections for low potential facilities performed?		Parking Lots, Parks, City Hall, Cemetary, Other
520	2	47.B.1.	Did Conover review /maintain / update spill response procedures?	YES	
526	2	47.B.3.	Number of non-reportable spills?		0
527	2	47.B.3.	Number of spills reported to DEQ?		0
536	2	49.B.1.	Did Conover provide staff and contractor training on illicit discharges, pollution prevention, outreach, how to respond to IDDE or post construction issues, spill prevention and response procedures, municipal facility requirements, construction runoff, Post construction ordinance and procedures, pesticide and fertilizer management, IDDE Plan procedures and requirements, IDDE ordinance, and good housekeeping procedures?	YES	Initial Training occurred last year, PowerPoint is accessible for new staff and to refresh for the Public Works Team
537	2	49.B.1.	Number trained on illicit discharges, pollution prevention, outreach, how to respond to IDDE or post construction issues, spill prevention and response procedures, municipal facility requirements, construction runoff, Post construction ordinance and procedures, pesticide and fertilizer management, IDDE Plan procedures and requirements, IDDE ordinance, and good housekeeping procedures?		8
547	2	50.B.1.	Did Conover inspect the MS4 infrastructure (pipes, major outfalls, stormwater conveyances, and basins) to ensure functionality?	YES	
548	2	50.B.1.	Number of MS4 inspections performed?		200 Catchbasins
549	2	50.B.1.	Number of MS4 issues identified during MS4 inspections?		11
560	2	51.B.2.	Number of MS4 maintenance activities performed?		11
566	2	52.B.2.	Did Conover develop and maintain SCM Operation and Maintenance Plans for each municipally-owned SCM?	YES	
567	2	52.B.2.	Number of municipal SCMs?		9
576	2	53.B.2.	Did Conover maintain a current inventory of municipally owned SCMs?	YES	
581	2	53.B.3.	Number of municipal SCMs inspections performed?		9
587	2	53.B.4.	Number of municipal SCM issues identified/recorded?		0
588	2	53.B.4.	Number of municipal SCM corrective actions/repairs taken?		0
597	2	55.B.1.	Did Conover maintain copies of current licenses/certifications of all staff and contractors who use landscaping chemicals?	YES	
598	2	55.B.1.	Number of certified applicator municipal personnel?		8
606	2	56.B.2.	Did Conover ensure all municipal light vehicles, City emergency vehicles, and equipment are using the wash method written in their facilities O&M plan?	YES	
614	2	58.B.1.	Did Conover perform street/curb and gutter sweeping weekly to reduce road pollutants in runoff?	PARTIAL	
615	2	58.B.1.	Total lane miles swept?		50
623	2	59.B.1.	Did Conover empty City owned trash receptacles twice a week, or on an as needed basis?	YES	
629	2	59.B.2.	Did Conover host its annual "litter sweep day" to both collect litter and encourage public participation?	YES	
630	2	59.B.2.	Number of litter sweep event participants?		12 200 lbs of trash collected
639	2	60.B.1.	Did Conover collect leaves with vacuum-style equipment from October 15 through January 15, with each street collected twice during this period?	YES	
640	2	60.B.1.	Volume of leave collected (cubic yards)?		2,200
649	2	61.B.1.	Did Conover train first responders for minimizing, collecting and disposing of fluids and other vehicular pollutants following an accident?	PARTIAL	
650	2	61.B.1.	Number of first responders trained for minimizing, collecting and disposing of fluids and other vehicular pollutants following an accident?		Town of Conover utilizes Catawba County and other municipal response teams
658	2	61.B.2.	Did Conover equip first responder vehicles with spill kits and material containment tools.?	YES	
663	2	61.B.3.	Did Conover include information about vehicle leaks in distributed materials and other educational resources?	YES	
669	2	61.B.4.	Did Conover perform Illicit Discharge enforcement for significant vehicle leaks from parked cars?	YES	There were no vehicle leaks
670	2	61.B.4.	Number of Illicit Discharge enforcement for significant vehicle leaks from parked cars?		0